

Discipline Restoration Procedures in the South African Police Service: Challenges and Effective Responses

Sithole Sina Ramaesela¹, Khosa Dee²,
Riekert Riaan³, & Modipa Mmakwena⁴

Abstract

This study examines the barriers to the execution of disciplinary processes and assesses their efficacy in managing misbehaviour and preserving discipline inside the South African Police Service. This qualitative article adopted the non-empirical research design: Systematic review. This study is completely based on the secondary data. A systematic review was done in detail for the collected literature. Research findings indicates that although SAPS has developed extensive disciplinary frameworks, their execution is irregular and sometimes obstructed by bureaucratic inefficiencies and limitations in resources. Jain, Sinclair & Papachristos (2022), asserts that lack of a cohesive strategy for addressing misconduct charges undermines confidence between officers and the communities they serve. The study emphasises optimal practices, including cultivating a culture of ethical leadership and augmenting procedural transparency, as measures to improve the efficacy of discipline restoration initiatives. The study further recommends instituting autonomous supervision systems which could help to safeguard disciplinary procedures from external influences and guarantee openness.

Keywords: Organisational culture, South Africa, discipline, public trust and reform.

Introduction

Re-establishing discipline within the law enforcement agencies is crucial for cultivating a competent and ethical law enforcement officials capable of providing successful services to the public. Discipline restoration within the South African Police Service (SAPS) is a vital aspect of governance, however it encounters several obstacles that affect its overall efficacy (Molupe, 2019). The SAPS, as an employer, had a right and responsibility to maintain discipline amongst its employees. In doing so, it had to ensure that its actions are in line with the relevant legislative framework governing employer/employee relations. Reinstating and maintaining discipline is essential, considering its obligation to guarantee safety and security in a community

¹ Sithole Sina Ramaesela is the student at the Tshwane University of Technology, Aubrey Matlakala St, Soshanguve, Pretoria, 0001, South Africa. Email: boysiesithole@gmail.com

² Prof Khosa Dee is the Supervisor of the first author at the Tshwane University of Technology, Aubrey Matlakala St, Soshanguve, Pretoria, 0001, South Africa. Email: khosad@tut.ac.za

³ Mr Riekert Riaan is the Co Supervisor of the first author at the Tshwane University of Technology, Aubrey Matlakala St, Soshanguve, Pretoria, 0001, South Africa. Email: riekertr@tut.ac.za

⁴ Dr Modipa Mmakwena is the Co Supervisor of the first author at the Tshwane University of Technology, Aubrey Matlakala St, Soshanguve, Pretoria, 0001, South Africa. Email: modipamv@tut.ac.za

confronted with many criminal issues. Effective discipline fosters ethical behaviour, discourages wrongdoing, and guarantees the congruence of police activities with constitutional principles. Restoring discipline inside the SAPS has proven to be a tough and varied undertaking (Lekubu, 2019). Discipline in the SAPS is governed by the SAPS Discipline Regulations, 2016, which is the product of collective bargaining in the Safety and Security Sectoral Bargaining Council (SSSBC), in which the agreement was reached, namely; (SSSBC Agreement 1/2012). The agreement was reached between the employer and registered trade unions (POPCRU & SAPU) at the bargaining council, which was established, in terms of section 37 of the Labour Relations Act (Labour Guide, 2024). In recent years, the SAPS has seen heightened scrutiny about episodes of misbehaviour, corruption, and inefficiency, all of which compromise its capacity to perform its duties successfully and also have negative impact on the agreement made above. The public's confidence in law enforcement has diminished due to prominent instances of police violence, misuse of authority, and protracted internal disciplinary procedures (Conti Cook, 2019). These difficulties underscore the pressing necessity to assess the obstacles and efficacy of SAPS' disciplinary restoration protocols. This study aims to examine the internal and external variables that hinder the efficacy of disciplinary procedures inside the SAPS. It analyses procedural inefficiencies, resource limitations, cultural dynamics, and external factors, including political and societal pressures. Additionally, it assesses the effectiveness of existing disciplinary structures to ascertain their capability in addressing wrongdoing and promoting responsibility inside the business. This article will uncover systemic deficiencies and provide evidence-based reforms to improve governance and integrity inside the SAPS. Reinstating discipline is crucial for enhancing organisational performance and for restoring public confidence in law enforcement, which is necessary for successful policing in a democratic society.

Problem statement

The SAPS is tasked with the essential duty of upholding public safety, enforcing legislation, and guaranteeing social order. For SAPS to properly fulfil its duty, it is imperative that its members maintain elevated standards of discipline and professionalism. The reinstatement of discipline within SAPS is essential for preserving its integrity, fostering public trust, and guaranteeing operational effectiveness (Mabusela et al, 2024). Regrettably, despite established disciplinary structures, SAPS has encountered considerable difficulties in efficiently managing misbehaviour and reinstating discipline within its organization. These issues compromise the service's capacity to uphold public confidence and avert future deterioration of faith in law enforcement. Factors such as procedural delays, insufficient resources, uneven execution of disciplinary measures, and deficiencies in leadership have been identified as significant issues. These challenges not only diminish the morale of SAPS officers but also significantly influence the relationship between the police and the communities they serve. The necessity for

effective and fast discipline restoration methods within SAPS has emerged as a critical issue. This article seeks to examine the obstacles and efficacy of disciplinary restoration processes within SAPS. The research aims to elucidate the fundamental reasons of disciplinary difficulties within the police force by examining current frameworks and pinpointing obstacles to their efficacy. Furthermore, it will offer suggestions to improve the disciplinary restoration process, promoting an atmosphere of responsibility, openness, and professionalism. This analysis enhances the discourse on enhancing police conduct and organizational efficacy within SAPS.

Research methodology

This study employed a qualitative technique. The gathered material was obtained from pertinent sources and analysed contextually without the application of quantitative methods. The researchers reviewed a literature overview on this issue from March 2022 to March 2024 to investigate the following aspects: the challenges and effectiveness of discipline restoration procedures within the SAPS, the role of leadership and organizational culture and the need for reform. Based on the type of data needed for this research, the study depended on secondary data sources in order to compile pertinent information. Archival and recorded material from regime gazettes, books, newspapers, and other relevant sources was taken in this procedure. Important and concrete information was distilled out of the collections using content and context analysis, therefore condensing and critically evaluating data produced from these sources. This procedure therefore made use of the deductive synthesis. Presented as separate parts in this study in line with the set-out goals of the discourse are synthesised outcomes from the analysis.

Discipline in policing

Discipline is a crucial element of police, since it guarantees that law enforcement professionals comply with ethical standards, legal regulations, and professional behaviour (Nielsen, 2015). In police, discipline functions as a method to preserve order, enforce responsibility, and foster respect for authority within the organization. It delineates explicit standards for permissible conduct and offers a structure for managing transgressions, therefore preserving the integrity of the police force. Effective discipline not only improves the internal operations of law enforcement agencies but also bolsters public confidence in their capacity to administer justice impartially and professionally. In practice, policing discipline has both preventative and corrective functions. Preventive discipline emphasizes on an atmosphere that encourages officers to maintain the highest standards of professionalism, often through training, mentorship, and explicit communication of expectations. According to Radhi & Abbas, (2019), corrective discipline, conversely, tackles breaches of regulations and norms, employing methods to investigate wrongdoing, ascertain culpability, and impose suitable consequences.

These procedures are essential for tackling issues like corruption, misuse of authority, and neglect of duty, which, if unaddressed, may undermine public trust and jeopardize the efficacy of the police force.

Nonetheless, upholding discipline in law enforcement presents significant obstacles. Law enforcement organisations frequently function within intricate socio-political contexts, where external demands, organisational culture, and resource limitations affect disciplinary procedures. Delays in resolving disciplinary proceedings, uneven punishment implementation, and a lack of transparency can erode the confidence of these systems (Washington, 2024). Moreover, the inherent characteristics of police, characterized by considerable discretion and exposure to high-stress scenarios, may result in ethical issues and behavioural shortcomings that challenge the efficacy of disciplinary systems. Discipline in policing is a dynamic and perpetual process necessitating constant assessment and modification. To attain sustainable outcomes, law enforcement organisations must include discipline within a comprehensive framework of organisational governance, prioritizing ethical leadership, professional growth, and community involvement (Christopher, 2015). In cultivating a culture of accountability and integrity, police services may develop a robust and professional staff adept at preserving the rule of law and efficiently serving society.

Challenges in discipline restoration

Reinstating discipline within law enforcement institutions, including the SAPS, is a multifaceted endeavour laden with several obstacles. These impediments frequently arise from structural, organisational, and external issues that obstruct the successful execution of disciplinary actions. Confronting these problems is essential for guaranteeing accountability and cultivating a culture of professionalism within the police force. The predominance of procedural delays in handling disciplinary complaints presents one major obstacle (Shabangu, 2022). Long-drawn-out investigations and hearings could cause ongoing uncertainty for the accused police as well as their coworkers, which can demoralize the staff. Furthermore, weakening the credibility of disciplinary procedures is unequal implementation of punishments, which generates impressions of partiality or prejudice. Many times, this discrepancy results from insufficient training of disciplinary panels and a lack of uniform policies between departments or areas.

The organisational culture poses an additional obstacle to reinstating discipline. In certain instances, a culture of impunity, characterized by the tolerance or neglect of misbehaviour, may exist. Mokgolo & Dikotla (2021), is of the view that this may arise from ineffective leadership, when supervisors inadequately implement disciplinary actions, or from camaraderie among officers, which deters the reporting of wrongdoing. These cultural factors foster a climate conducive to the persistence of unethical behaviour, undermining confidence both inside the company and with the public. Resource limitations exacerbate the challenges of restoring discipline. The SAPS, like to several other agencies, frequently encounters

deficiencies in people, finance, and technology resources essential for effective disciplinary procedures. These constraints hinder the capacity to do comprehensive inquiries and guarantee prompt resolutions. Furthermore, officials responsible for implementing punishment may lack the requisite abilities or autonomy to execute their duties impartially (Sahoo et al, 2024). External influences, like governmental meddling and cultural pressures, also contribute significantly. Politically driven acts may protect specific officials from responsibility, compromising the integrity of the system. Public uproar against particular instances of police misbehaviour might prompt expedited disciplinary measures that may circumvent due process, leading to contested results (Margolis, 2022). Reconciling public expectations with procedural integrity continues to be a formidable problem.

The role of leadership and organisational culture

Leadership and organisational culture are essential elements in influencing the discipline and overall efficacy of law enforcement institutions, such as the SAPS. Robust, principled leadership establishes the standard for conduct and decision-making inside an organisation, shaping the perception, enforcement, and maintenance of discipline (Scholl, Mederer & Scholl, 2023). Organisational culture, comprising common beliefs, conventions, and practices, significantly influences a police force's adherence to professionalism or its tolerance of wrongdoing. Mutuma (2024), state that effective leadership is essential in cultivating a culture of responsibility and honesty. Leaders at every level must exemplify ethical conduct and have a steadfast dedication to maintaining disciplinary standards. This entails guaranteeing that disciplinary measures are uniform, equitable, and in accordance with organisational norms. When leaders neglect to handle wrongdoing decisively or participate in unethical activities, it fosters a culture of impunity. In contrast, leaders who emphasize openness, equity, and procedural justice may cultivate trust among employees and the public. Organisational culture serves as the framework in which discipline is either maintained or compromised (Adams, Martin & Boom, 2018). Informal norms within the police force can collide with statutory rules. A culture of silence or allegiance among officials may inhibit the reporting of misbehaviour, even when it adversely affects the company. Likewise, if promotions and prizes are viewed as favouring loyalty above merit or ethical conduct, it may undermine morale and encourage unprofessional behaviour (Joseph & Alhassan, 2023).

Leadership has a crucial role in establishing and altering corporate culture to conform with the principles of discipline and professionalism (Akanji et al, 2020). This necessitates intentional actions, including the establishment of explicit behavioural standards, the provision of ongoing training in ethical behaviours, and the acknowledgment of officers who demonstrate integrity. Moreover, establishing a transparent atmosphere in which officials may report malfeasance without fear of retribution is crucial for promoting accountability. Transformational leadership is

very successful in tackling the issues of restoring discipline. Leaders who inspire and encourage their people, while establishing clear ethical limits, may facilitate cultural transformation and strengthen a sense of purpose inside the firm. They must emphasize communication, ensuring that all officers comprehend the significance of discipline in attaining the overarching objectives of law enforcement (Mansfield, Fowler & Rainbolt, 2018).

Impact of discipline on police effectiveness and public trust

For the SAPS, upholding discipline is vital for preserving internal order and enhancing public trust, both of which are required to efficient policing in a democratic society. Discipline improves police efficacy by cultivating a professional and accountable personnel (Rushin, 2019). Officers who comply with explicit rules of conduct are more inclined to act decisively, ethically, and legally, even under high-pressure circumstances. Effectively implemented disciplinary mechanisms guarantee that misbehaviour is swiftly managed, averting its progression into systemic problems that undermine the organisation's capacity to provide services (Belle, 2016). A disciplined force functions cohesively, with members collaborating towards shared objectives, hence enhancing reaction times, investigation quality, and crime prevention initiatives. A deficiency in discipline can significantly impair police efficacy. Misconduct, including corruption, misuse of authority, or lack of duty, impedes the settlement of individual cases and generates inefficiencies and resource wasting. When officers neglect to execute their responsibilities ethically, it undermines the trust of the police force, deters public participation, and fosters criminal activity (Tyler, Goff & MacCoun, 2015). Public trust is intrinsically linked to views of police accountability. Communities are more inclined to appreciate and collaborate with a police force that exhibits honesty, equity, and accountability. Transparent and uniform disciplinary actions provide public assurance that wrongdoing will be addressed, hence bolstering trust in the organization. Conversely, perceptions of impunity or bias in disciplinary procedures may engender suspicion, anger, and animosity towards law enforcement (Chanin & Espinosa, 2016).

High-profile cases of police misconduct, if not addressed transparently and decisively, can erode public confidence on a larger scale (Malone & Dammert, 2021). This lack of trust often manifests in reduced cooperation with investigations, unwillingness to report crimes, and, in extreme cases, civil unrest. Restoring public trust in such circumstances requires not only the enforcement of discipline but also open communication with the community about the steps being taken to address failures and improve accountability.

The Need for Reform

To solve structural flaws and guarantee that the police force runs with honesty, professionalism, and public trust, law enforcement agencies including the SAPS have to reform their disciplinary procedures. Procedural inefficiencies, uneven

application, and a lack of responsibility among current difficulties point to the pressing necessity of changes improving the fairness and efficacy of disciplinary actions (Mulligan et al, 2019). One urgent area needing change is the system of procedures controlling discipline (Ivanov et al, 2021). Long-drawn-out investigations, hazy chronologies, and delayed case resolution compromise the integrity of the disciplinary system and irritate public and officer alike. Through means of uniform timetables, streamlining of these processes, and application of technology for case management, disciplinary systems may become much more transparent and efficient. Leadership and organizational culture also require targeted reforms. Ethical leadership training, coupled with the enforcement of clear codes of conduct, can instil a culture of accountability and professionalism within the SAPS (Modise, 2017). Leaders must set the tone by addressing misconduct decisively and fairly, fostering an environment where ethical behaviour is rewarded, and unethical behaviour is addressed consistently. This includes dismantling informal practices, such as the "blue wall of silence," which can shield misconduct from scrutiny (Mkhwane, 2022).

Reform efforts should also prioritize training and capacity-building for officers tasked with implementing disciplinary measures (Polo, 2021). Many disciplinary failures stem from inadequate training, which leads to inconsistencies in case handling and decision-making. Providing specialized training on disciplinary protocols, ethical decision-making, and conflict resolution can empower officers to carry out their duties more effectively. Resource allocation is another critical aspect of reform. The SAPS must be equipped with sufficient personnel, funding, and technological tools to support disciplinary investigations and hearings. Allocating dedicated resources to these processes can help prevent backlogs and ensure that cases are handled promptly and thoroughly (Zikalala et al, 2024). Additionally, reforms must address external factors that influence discipline. Political interference and societal pressures often undermine the integrity of disciplinary actions (Carroll et al, 2017). Establishing independent oversight mechanisms, such as civilian review boards, can help insulate the disciplinary process from external influences and provide impartial assessments of cases. Public engagement is integral to successful reform. Transparency in disciplinary processes and communication about the steps taken to address misconduct can rebuild community trust. Reforms should also include platforms for public input, ensuring that the needs and expectations of communities are reflected in the policies governing police discipline (Merkey, 2015).

Legal and theoretical frameworks

The legal and theoretical frameworks governing discipline restoration within the SAPS are rooted in a combination of constitutional principles, statutory law, and organisational policies. Legally, the framework is guided by the South African Constitution, which enshrines the right to fair treatment and due process, as well as

the Promotion of Administrative Justice Act (PAJA), which mandates fair, transparent, and accountable administrative actions, including disciplinary processes. Additionally, the South African Police Service Act and the SAPS Disciplinary Regulations outline the procedures for addressing misconduct (Sauerman & Kutnjak-Ivković, 2015). Theoretically, the restoration of discipline in SAPS can be framed within the concepts of procedural justice, which emphasizes fairness in the process of decision-making, and organizational justice, which focuses on the equitable treatment of employees. These frameworks are underpinned by principles of accountability and transparency, crucial for building a police force that upholds the rule of law and maintains public trust. The integration of these legal and theoretical foundations ensures that disciplinary measures within SAPS are not only lawful but also fair, just, and consistent with democratic values.

Findings and discussions

Procedural inefficiencies

One of the primary findings was the procedural inefficiency in the disciplinary process within SAPS. Cases of misconduct often face significant delays due to bureaucratic backlogs, resource constraints, and lack of standardized case management procedures. These delays contribute to frustration among officers and reduce the effectiveness of the disciplinary system. Additionally, the absence of a clear, uniform framework for handling disciplinary matters across regions and units exacerbates inconsistencies in how cases are handled, leading to perceptions of unfairness. Procedural inefficiencies are detrimental to both the internal functioning of SAPS and its public reputation. When misconduct is not addressed promptly, it undermines the police force's ability to maintain discipline and operational integrity. To address this, the disciplinary process should be streamlined with clear timelines, the use of modern case management technologies, and consistent procedures across all levels of the organisation. This will ensure quicker resolutions and bolster the credibility of the system.

Organisational culture and leadership

A pervasive issue within SAPS is the organizational culture that sometimes fosters a "culture of silence," where officers protect their peers and refrain from reporting misconduct. This culture of impunity is often reinforced by weak leadership, where unethical behaviour goes unaddressed, and loyalty among officers takes precedence over accountability. Leadership in some cases fails to enforce disciplinary standards effectively, contributing to an environment where misconduct is overlooked. The organisational culture within SAPS plays a significant role in the success or failure of disciplinary processes. When unethical behaviour is tolerated or even implicitly condoned by leadership, it sends a message to officers that such behaviour is acceptable. Transforming this culture requires strong, ethical leadership that models accountability and transparency, and promotes a zero-tolerance stance toward misconduct. Leadership must actively

encourage reporting of misconduct and ensure that those who come forward are protected from retaliation.

External influences on disciplinary processes

External factors, particularly political interference and public pressure were found to significantly impact disciplinary processes. Political motives may lead to selective enforcement of discipline, where high-profile cases are rushed through without proper investigation or due process to appease public outcry. Additionally, the media and public expectations often push for swift action in cases of police misconduct, which can result in procedural shortcuts and biased decision-making. While external pressure is an inherent challenge for law enforcement agencies, it is crucial for disciplinary processes to remain impartial and based on evidence, rather than public or political demands. To safeguard the integrity of the disciplinary system, SAPS should implement independent oversight mechanisms to shield the process from political or public influence. Transparency in decision-making, along with a commitment to due process, will help mitigate these external pressures and maintain trust in the system.

Impact of disciplinary failures on police effectiveness and public trust

Failures in discipline restoration have a direct impact on the effectiveness of SAPS and erode public trust. When officers are not held accountable for misconduct, it undermines their ability to perform their duties effectively and damages the reputation of the police force. Public trust, crucial to successful community policing, is eroded when misconduct is not addressed transparently and fairly. Discipline within SAPS is not only crucial for the internal functioning of the organisation but also for maintaining public confidence. A lack of accountability can lead to public disillusionment with the police, resulting in diminished cooperation with investigations and even resistance to law enforcement efforts. Restoring public trust requires a commitment to fairness, transparency, and accountability in the disciplinary process. When the public sees that misconduct is addressed promptly and impartially, it strengthens the legitimacy of the police force.

Success stories and the potential for reform

Despite the challenges identified, there have been isolated examples of effective disciplinary action within SAPS. In these cases, leadership commitment, adequate resources, and transparent processes led to successful outcomes, where misconduct was addressed fairly and in a timely manner. These success stories suggest that, when properly managed, the disciplinary system has the potential to restore order and promote a culture of professionalism. While the overall system faces significant challenges, these success stories highlight the potential for improvement within SAPS. In learning from these instances and applying best practices across the organization, SAPS can address the gaps in its current

disciplinary framework. The key is to ensure that the lessons learned from successful cases are institutionalized and replicated, so that discipline restoration becomes a standard practice rather than the exception.

Recommendations

To efficiently reinstate discipline in the South African Police Service, a set of specific suggestions is required. These encompass optimizing disciplinary procedures to eradicate delays, investing in the training of disciplinary committees to guarantee uniform and equitable enforcement, and augmenting resource allocation to facilitate prompt investigations and hearings. The cultivation of ethical leadership and the promotion of an organisational culture that emphasizes responsibility are essential for altering informal norms that detract from professionalism. Moreover, instituting autonomous supervision systems helps safeguard disciplinary procedures from external influences and guarantee openness. Transparency in decision-making, along with a commitment to due process, will help mitigate external pressures and maintain trust in the system. The disciplinary processes should be streamlined with clear timelines, the use of modern case management technologies, and consistent procedures across all levels of the South African Police Service.

Conclusion

The SAPS is charged with the constitutional responsibility to prevent, combat and investigate crime, to maintain public order, to protect and secure the inhabitants of the Republic of South Africa (RSA) and their property and to uphold and enforce the law. To fulfil this constitutional mandate, the members of the SAPS must act with integrity and respect for people's diversity and the law, thereby enhancing service excellence to the approval of the public. The SAPS Code of Conduct and the Code of Ethics underpins the way in which every member of the SAPS should behave, irrespective of whether they are on or off duty. It's of paramount importance for SAPS members to adhere to the code of conduct and serve the people with integrity. Reinstating discipline within the South African Police Service will help the institution to achieve its objectives and regain public trust as the organisation is tainted by unethical and often unprofessional conduct from members which led to civil claims against the police.

Acknowledgement

Author contributions: Conceptualization, S.S.R, D.K, R.R, M.M.; Methodology, S.S.R, D.K, R.R, M.M.; Data Collection, S.S.R, D.K, R.R., M.M.; Formal Analysis, S.S.R, D.K, R.R, M.M.; Writing—Original Draft Preparation, S.S.R, D.K, R.R, M.M.; Writing—Review and Editing, S.S.R, D.K, R.R, M.M.; Authors have read and agreed to the published the final version of the manuscript.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Ethical review and approval were waived for this study, due to that the research does not deal with vulnerable groups or sensitive issues.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest

References

- Adams, R., Martin, S. and Boom, K., 2018. University culture and sustainability: Designing and implementing an enabling framework. *Journal of cleaner production*, 171, pp.434-445.
- Akanji, B., Mordi, C., Ituma, A., Adisa, T.A. and Ajonbadi, H. 2020. The influence of organisational culture on leadership style in higher education institutions. *Personnel Review*, 49(3): 709-732.
- Belle, L.J. 2016. The role of principals in maintaining effective discipline among learners in selected Mauritian state secondary schools: An education management model. *Unpublished Doctoral thesis. University of South Africa*.
- Carroll, C., Hartl, B., Goldman, G.T., Rohlf, D.J., Treves, A., Kerr, J.T., Ritchie, E.G., Kingsford, R.T., Gibbs, K.E., Maron, M. and Watson, J.E. 2017. Defending the scientific integrity of conservation-policy processes. *Conservation biology*, 31(5): 967-975.
- Chanin, J. and Espinosa, S., 2016. Examining the determinants of police department transparency: The view of police executives. *Criminal Justice Policy Review*, 27(5): 498-519.
- Christopher, S. 2015. The police service can be a critical reflective practice... if it wants. *Policing: a journal of policy and practice*, 9(4): 326-339.
- Conti-Cook, C.H. 2019. A new balance: Weighing harms of hiding police misconduct information from the public. *CUNY L. Rev.*, 22: 148.
- Ivanov, D., Tang, C.S., Dolgui, A., Battini, D. and Das, A. 2021. Researchers' perspectives on Industry 4.0: multi-disciplinary analysis and opportunities for operations management. *International Journal of Production Research*, 59(7): 2055-2078.
- Jain, A., Sinclair, R. and Papachristos, A.V. 2022. Identifying misconduct-committing officer crews in the Chicago police department. *Plos one*, 17(5): e0267217.
- Joseph, S. and Alhassan, I. 2023. Favouritism in higher Education institutions: Exploring the drivers, consequences and policy implications. *European Journal of Human Resource*, 7(1): 31-45.
- Labour Guide. 2024. Termination of employment. <https://labourguide.co.za/general/termination-of-employment>.
- Lekubu, B.K. 2019. *A critique of South African anti-corruption strategies and structures: a comparative analysis* (Doctoral dissertation, Doctoral dissertation).
- Mabusela, T.A., Ngonyama-Ndou, T.L. and Mmako, M.M. 2024. The perceived fairness and consistency of disciplinary practices in selected police stations. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management*, 22: 2388.
- Malone, M.F.T. and Dammert, L. 2021. The police and the public: policing practices and public trust in Latin America. *Policing and society*, 31(4): 418-433.

- Mansfield, K.C., Fowler, B. and Rainbolt, S. 2018. The potential of restorative practices to ameliorate discipline gaps: The story of one high school's leadership team. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 54(2): 303-323.
- Margolis, C. 2022. Targeting Police Unions, Rethinking Reform. *NYU Rev. L. & Soc. Change*, 46: 224.
- Merkey, L. 2015. Building trust and breaking down the wall: The use of restorative justice to repair police-community relationships. *Mo. L. Rev.*, 80:1133.
- Mkhwane, M.M. 2022. *Conceptualisations of Ethical Leadership and causes of unethical behavior in schools: Perceptions of teachers and principals* (Doctoral dissertation, University of the Witwatersrand).
- Modise, M.J. 2017. *Improving the levels of Professionalism of Trainers within the South African Police Service* (Doctoral dissertation, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AFRICA).
- Mokgolo, M.M. and Dikotla, M.A. 2021. The management of disciplinary cases in the South African public service post-2009 to the 2018 era. *Africa's Public Service Delivery & Performance Review*, 9(1): 9.
- Molupe, S.D. 2019. *Examining the retention policy of law enforcement: South African Police Service Zamdela cluster, Free State Province* (Doctoral dissertation, North-West University).
- Mulligan, D.K., Kroll, J.A., Kohli, N. and Wong, R.Y. 2019. This thing called fairness: Disciplinary confusion realizing a value in technology. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 3(CSCW): 1-36.
- Mutuma, F.D.K.W. 2024. Ethical Leadership and Integrity in Public Service: A Comprehensive Analysis. *ICS Governance Journal*, 1(3): 38-52.
- Nielsen, V.L. 2015. Law enforcement behaviour of regulatory inspectors. In *Understanding street-level bureaucracy*: 115-132. Policy Press.
- Polo, D. 2021. *Effect of implementation of strategic reforms on national police service performance in Uasin Gishu County, Kenya* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Eldoret).
- Radhi, R. and ABBAS, A. 2019. *How Can Restorative Justice and the Unified Theory of Punishment Help Us Make Sense of Corporate Crime and Punishment?* (Doctoral dissertation, Durham University).
- Rushin, S. 2019. Police disciplinary appeals. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*: 545-610.
- Sahoo, P., Singh, A.K., Saha, S., Jain, V., Mondal, S. and Chadha, A. 2024. A systematic survey of prompt engineering in large language models: Techniques and applications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.07927*.
- Sauerman, A. and Kutnjak Ivković, S., 2015. Police integrity in South Africa. *Measuring police integrity across the world: Studies from established democracies and countries in transition*: 213-239.

- Scholl, J.A., Mederer, H.J. and Scholl, R.W. 2023. Leadership, ethics, and decision-making. In *Global encyclopedia of public administration, public policy, and governance*: 7495-7504. Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Shabangu, K.M. 2022. Effectiveness of the public service disciplinary framework for the Department of Public Service and Administration. *Unpublished Doctor of Philosophy Thesis. Pretoria: University of South Africa.*
- Tyler, T.R., Goff, P.A. and MacCoun, R.J. 2015. The impact of psychological science on policing in the United States: Procedural justice, legitimacy, and effective law enforcement. *Psychological science in the public interest*, 16(3): 75-109.
- Washington, S.L. 2024. Time & Punishment. *Yale Law Journal, Forthcoming, Univ. of Wisconsin Legal Studies Research Paper*: 1794.
- Zikalala, N.I., Mofokeng, J.T., Motseki, M.M. and Zenzile, E. 2024. Digital Transformation: Digitising Witness Statements in South African Policing in Alignment with Religious Principles. *Khazanah Journal of Religion and Technology*, 2(1): 6-17.