# Pakistan Journal of Criminology Vol. 16, No. 03, July—September 2024 (1365-1378)

# **Enhancing the Implementation of International Humanitarian Law in Conflict Zones: A Comprehensive Review**

Mohammad Ahmad Nayef Alakash<sup>1</sup>, Haitham M Altaany<sup>2</sup>, Bassam Abuirmilah<sup>3</sup>, Hisham Jadallah Mansour Shakhatreh<sup>4</sup>, Nabeel Zaid Suliman Magableh<sup>5</sup> & Abdel Karim Moh'd Suleiman Al daraiseh<sup>6</sup>

#### **Abstract**

This article explores the challenges, case studies, critiques, and recommendations for implementing International Humanitarian Law (IHL) in conflict zones. It examines the foundational principles of IHL, including humanity, distinction, proportionality, and military necessity, which guide parties involved in armed conflicts. The complex relationship between IHL and state sovereignty is discussed, emphasizing the need to balance humanitarian norms with national sovereignty. Case studies of conflicts in Syria, Yemen, Myanmar, Israel-Palestine, and Iraq are analyzed to illustrate the complexities of implementing IHL. These conflicts demonstrate widespread violations of IHL, including attacks on civilians and the use of prohibited weapons, highlighting the urgent need for greater adherence to humanitarian norms. Critiques of IHL are examined, focusing on challenges such as the lack of enforcement mechanisms and the rise of non-state actors. In conclusion, the article stresses the importance of upholding and enforcing IHL to mitigate the humanitarian impact of armed conflicts and protect the rights of individuals affected by war. Addressing these challenges and implementing the recommendations outlined in this article can lead to a future where humanity, distinction, proportionality, and military necessity are upheld, safeguarding civilians and combatants from the devastating consequences of armed conflicts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Assistant Prof. In Political Science, Jadara University – JORDAN, <u>dr.malakash@gmail.com</u>, ORCID No: <u>0000-0001-7321-8578.#00962796426159</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Assistant Prof. In Unit of Support Courses, Faculty of Art and Languages, Jadara <u>University-Jordan.h.altaany@jadara.edu.jo</u> <a href="https://orcid.org/0009-0003-7733-3938">https://orcid.org/0009-0003-7733-3938</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Prof. In Administrative Law, Jadara University – JORDAN, <u>brmkl@yahoo.com</u>, ORCID: <u>0000-0002-5188-9474</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Assistant Prof. in law, Jadara University - Jordan, <a href="https://hishakhatreh@jadara.edu.jo">h.shakhatreh@jadara.edu.jo</a>, ORCID No: <a href="https://oco.org/10.000-0001-8693-5744">0000-0001-8693-5744</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Assistant prof. in law, Jadara University - Jordan , <u>nmagableh@jadara.edu.jo</u>, ORCID No: <u>0000-0002-7791-1182</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Assistant Prof. In law, Jadara University – JORDAN, <u>D</u> <u>aldaraiseh@yahoo.com</u>, ORCID No: 0000-0003-4898-2878

**Keywords:** 

International Humanitarian Law (IHL), armed conflicts, implementation challenges, state sovereignty, enforcement mechanisms, conflict resolution.

#### Introduction

In today's world, armed conflicts continue to ravage communities, destabilize regions, and inflict immense suffering on civilians and combatants alike. During these crises, International Humanitarian Law (IHL) serves as a beacon of hope, offering a framework designed to mitigate the impact of armed conflicts and protect the fundamental rights and dignity of individuals affected by war. However, despite the noble principles enshrined in IHL, implementing these laws in conflict zones remains fraught with challenges and complexities (Bartels, 2018).

Implementing IHL is not merely a theoretical exercise but a pressing necessity in the face of ongoing conflicts that threaten the lives and well-being of millions of people around the globe. From the battlefields of Syria to the streets of Yemen, from the mountains of Myanmar to the occupied territories of Israel-Palestine, and from the aftermath of the Iraq War to the recent conflicts that have erupted, the urgent need to uphold and enforce IHL has never been more apparent (ICRC, 2022).

Understanding the foundational principles of IHL underpin its implementation in conflict zones is essential. Humanity, distinction, proportionality, and military necessity serve as the cornerstones of IHL, guiding the conduct of parties to a conflict and emphasizing the paramount importance of protecting civilians and minimizing harm during armed conflicts. Humanity mandates respect for the dignity and worth of all persons, while distinction requires parties to differentiate between combatants and civilians, ensuring that civilians are not targeted indiscriminately. Proportionality dictates that the harm caused to civilians must not be excessive for the military advantage gained, while military necessity allows for the use of force only to the extent necessary to achieve legitimate military objectives (Kaja,2019).

Despite the clear principles outlined in IHL, the implementation of these laws faces significant challenges, particularly in the context of conflicts characterized by widespread violence, political instability, and disregard for human rights. The relationship between IHL and state sovereignty further complicates matters, as states often assert their sovereignty in the face of international legal obligations, leading to tensions between upholding IHL and respecting state sovereignty. Moreover, the rise of non-state actors and the proliferation of emerging technologies pose additional challenges to the

implementation of IHL, as these actors may not be bound by traditional legal frameworks and may engage in tactics that violate established norms of conduct in armed conflicts (OHCHR, 2011).

To exemplify the challenges of implementing IHL, this article will examine conflicts in Syria, Yemen, Myanmar, Israel-Palestine, and Iraq. Despite their diverse geopolitical contexts, these conflicts share widespread violations of IHL and humanitarian crises. From Syria's prolonged civil war to Yemen's dire humanitarian situation, from Myanmar's persecution of the Rohingya minority to Israel-Palestine's entrenched conflict, and from Iraq's post-war challenges to reconstruction efforts, these case studies offer valuable insights into implementing IHL in real-world conflict scenarios.

In summary, Implementing International Humanitarian Law in conflict zones is complex, requiring sustained international efforts. This article analyzes IHL principles, conflict case studies, critiques, and recommendations. It aims to contribute to ongoing discussions on upholding and enforcing crucial laws amidst contemporary challenges. Ultimately, it seeks to ensure the protection of civilians and combatants from armed conflict's devastating consequences.

# **Study Objectives**

The objective of this study is to comprehensively examine the challenges, case studies, critiques, and recommendations related to implementing International Humanitarian Law (IHL) in conflict zones. By delving into the fundamental principles of IHL, analyzing diverse conflict case studies, exploring critiques, and proposing improvement recommendations, the study aims to provide valuable insights into the complexities of IHL implementation. Ultimately, it seeks to contribute to ongoing discussions on upholding and enforcing IHL amidst contemporary challenges. The overarching goal is to mitigate the humanitarian impact of armed conflicts and protect the rights and dignity of individuals affected by war.

#### **Literature Review**

## A. Overview of IHL and its principles:

International humanitarian law (IHL), also known as the law of war or the law of armed conflict, is a set of rules that seeks to limit the effects of armed conflict on civilians and combatants. It applies to all parties to a conflict and is derived from various sources, including treaties, customary law, and general principles of law. The fundamental principles of IHL are humanity, distinction, proportionality, and military necessity (Schmitt,2011).

Humanity is the principle that requires parties to the conflict to show respect for the dignity and worth of all persons and to take all necessary measures to protect them. This includes prohibiting acts of violence, torture, and cruel treatment, and ensuring that medical personnel and facilities are respected and protected. Distinction requires parties to the conflict to distinguish between combatants and civilians and only to target combatants and military objectives. Civilians and civilian objects are protected and may not be targeted, except under certain circumstances (Le Moli,2021).

Proportionality mandates that military actions must be proportional to the military advantage gained, ensuring that harm to civilians and civilian objects is not excessive. Military necessity permits the use of force for legitimate military objectives, but only to the necessary and proportionate extent. IHL is an important aspect of international law, and its principles have been incorporated into various treaties and conventions, including the Geneva Conventions and the Additional Protocols. It is also enforced by international criminal tribunals, such as the International Criminal Court (ICRC, 2010).

#### Relationship between IHL and state sovereignty:

International humanitarian law (IHL), also known as the law of armed conflict or the law of war, is a set of rules that aim to protect people who are not or are no longer participating in hostilities, such as civilians and wounded or sick combatants. IHL is derived from the principles of humanity, necessity, and proportionality, and it applies to all parties to an armed conflict, whether states or non-state actors (Al Aridi, 2016).

The relationship between IHL and state sovereignty is complex, as IHL seeks to regulate state behavior in times of armed conflict, which is traditionally considered a core aspect of state sovereignty. However, international law recognizes that state sovereignty is not absolute and that states have certain obligations towards the international community, particularly in areas such as human rights and humanitarian law (Gerard, n.d.).

A core principle of IHL is its universal applicability, extending to all types of conflicts, whether international or non-international. This implies that states are bound by IHL rules even when engaged in conflicts against non-state actors or unrecognized groups. Consequently, IHL acts as a check on state sovereignty during armed conflicts, imposing restrictions on hostilities.it is important to note that states remain the primary actors in the application and enforcement of IHL. States have the responsibility to respect and ensure respect for IHL, and to hold accountable those who violate its provisions. In this sense, IHL can also be seen as

reinforcing state sovereignty by recognizing the role of states as the main actors in ensuring compliance with international law (Zyberi, 2018).

Overall, the relationship between IHL and state sovereignty is tense and balanced. While IHL seeks to limit state sovereignty in the context of armed conflict, it also recognizes the importance of state responsibility and enforcement in ensuring compliance with its provisions (ICRC, 2021).

## Case studies on the implementation of IHL in conflict zones:

International humanitarian law (IHL) has been developed to protect individuals and limit the effects of armed conflict. While it is meant to be universally applicable, the implementation of IHL has been a challenge in various conflict zones around the world. and we will examine the case studies of Yemen, Myanmar, Israel-Palestine, and Iraq to understand how IHL has been implemented in these conflicts.

## • Syria:

The conflict in Syria, which began in 2011 as part of the Arab Spring uprisings, has evolved into a complex and devastating civil war. Multiple parties, including the Syrian government led by President Bashar al-Assad, various rebel groups, extremist organizations, and international actors, have been involved in the conflict. The humanitarian situation in Syria has been dire, with millions of civilians displaced, widespread destruction of infrastructure, and egregious violations of human rights and international humanitarian law (IHL) (Rasheed & Beaujouan,2019). The implementation of IHL in Syria has been severely hampered by the lack of adherence to its principles by all parties involved. Civilians have borne the brunt of the violence, facing indiscriminate attacks, sieges, and atrocities such as mass killings, torture, and the use of chemical weapons. Both government forces and non-state armed groups have been accused of committing grave violations of IHL, including targeting civilian areas, hospitals, and schools (Oweis,2018).

The United Nations and humanitarian organizations have been actively engaged in efforts to promote compliance with IHL in Syria. The UN Security Council has adopted resolutions condemning attacks on civilians and calling for humanitarian access to besieged areas. However, these efforts have often been obstructed by political divisions and the failure of parties to the conflict to uphold their obligations under international law (Ibold,2019).

The Syrian conflict has also highlighted the challenges of accountability for violations of IHL. Despite widespread documentation of war crimes and crimes against humanity, accountability mechanisms have been limited. Efforts to refer the situation in Syria to the International Criminal Court have been stymied

by geopolitical considerations, and domestic accountability mechanisms have been ineffective (Baraka & Hatay, 2019)

In conclusion, Syria emphasizes the critical importance of upholding IHL and enforcing accountability in conflict areas. The humanitarian crisis there serves as a poignant reminder of the dire consequences when IHL principles are disregarded, highlighting the necessity of respecting human rights and international law in all conflicts.

#### • Yemen:

The conflict in Yemen began in 2014 when Houthi rebels overthrew the government and seized control of the capital, Sanaa. Since then, a coalition led by Saudi Arabia has been fighting the rebels, resulting in a humanitarian crisis in the country. According to the UN, the conflict has resulted in more than 233,000 deaths, with civilians bearing the brunt of the violence.

The conflict in Yemen has also seen widespread violations of IHL, including attacks on civilians and civilian infrastructure, the use of cluster munitions, and the restriction of humanitarian access.

The UN has played a significant role in promoting compliance with IHL in Yemen, including through the establishment of a Group of Eminent Experts to investigate violations of human rights and IHL. Additionally, organizations such as the Yemeni Coalition for Monitoring Human Rights Violations have worked to document violations and advocate for accountability. The implementation of IHL in Yemen has been challenging, with both sides accused of violating the principles of IHL. The Saudi-led coalition has been accused of indiscriminate bombings that have killed and injured civilians, while the Houthis have been accused of using human shields and attacking civilian targets. The UN has called for an end to the conflict and for all parties to respect IHL (UN,2022).

### • Myanmar:

The conflict in Myanmar, also known as Burma, has been ongoing for several decades, with various ethnic groups fighting for autonomy and independence. The Rohingya crisis, which began in 2017, has been a major concern for the international community. The Rohingya, a Muslim minority group, have faced persecution and violence at the hands of the Myanmar military, resulting in their displacement and a refugee crisis.

The recent military coup in Myanmar has led to renewed violence and conflict in the country, with reports of widespread human rights abuses and violations of IHL. The use of lethal force against peaceful protestors and attacks on civilians have led to calls for accountability and justice. The UN has condemned the violence and called for respect for IHL, while non-governmental

organizations such as Human Rights Watch have documented violations and called for accountability. The implementation of IHL in Myanmar has been challenging, with the military accused of committing atrocities against civilians, including rape, murder, and torture. The international community has called for an end to the violence and for those responsible to be held accountable for their actions. The UN has also called for the Rohingya to be granted citizenship and for their rights to be protected (HRW, 2021).

#### • Israel-Palestine:

The conflict between Israel and Palestine has been ongoing for decades, with both sides claiming the right to self-determination. The conflict has resulted in numerous wars and violent clashes, with civilians on both sides suffering the consequences. The long-standing conflict between Israel and Palestine has been marked by numerous violations of IHL, including attacks on civilians, extrajudicial killings, and the use of excessive force. The situation has been complicated by the occupation of Palestinian territories and the ongoing blockade of Gaza. Various actors have worked to promote compliance with IHL in the region, including the UN and the International Criminal Court (ICC). Nongovernmental organizations such as the Palestinian Centre for Human Rights have also worked to document violations and advocate for accountability. The implementation of IHL in the Israel-Palestine conflict has been a contentious issue, with both sides accused of violating the principles of IHL. Israel has been accused of using excessive force and committing human rights violations, while Palestinian groups have been accused of using civilians as human shields and launching indiscriminate attacks. The international community has called for a peaceful resolution and for all parties to respect IHL (PCHR,2021).

#### • Iraq:

The conflict in Iraq began in 2003 when a US-led coalition invaded the country, resulting in the overthrow of Saddam Hussein's regime. Since then, Iraq has been plagued by sectarian violence and insurgency, with various groups vying for power. The implementation of IHL in Iraq has been marred by the widespread use of torture and other forms of mistreatment of prisoners by US and Iraqi forces. The Abu Ghraib prison scandal in 2004 exposed systematic abuses by US military personnel, including beatings, sexual assault, and humiliation. Despite international condemnation and the prosecution of some individuals involved, many of the perpetrators have not been held accountable, and the incident has damaged the credibility of IHL. The implementation of IHL in Iraq has been challenging, with both state and non-state actors accused of committing human rights violations and violating the principles of IHL. The international community

has called for an end to the violence and for those responsible to be held accountable for their actions. The UN has also called for greater protection for civilians in the conflict(HRW,2018).

In conclusion, implementing IHL in global conflict zones has proven challenging, with several factors impacting its efficacy. Case studies from Yemen, Myanmar, Israel-Palestine, and Iraq illustrate the intricate challenges in IHL implementation, emphasizing the crucial necessity for all parties to adhere to its principles to safeguard civilians and mitigate armed conflict repercussions.

## B. Critiques of IHL and challenges to its implementation:

- Lack of Enforcement: A primary critique of IHL is the absence of robust enforcement mechanisms. While international criminal tribunals exist to prosecute war crimes, their authority is often constrained by political factors. Additionally, some nations may resist collaboration with these tribunals or shield their citizens from prosecution. Consequently, perpetrators of war crimes and IHL violations may evade accountability, diminishing the credibility and efficacy of IHL. (ICRC,2019).
- Lack of Consistency in Interpretation: Another challenge to implementing IHL is the inconsistency in its interpretation and application. IHL draws from an intricate framework of treaties, customary rules, and principles, leading to varying interpretations based on context and actors involved. This inconsistency can sow confusion and diminish the effectiveness and legitimacy of IHL (ICRC,2021).
- 3. Non-State Actors: The emergence of non-state actors, including armed groups and private military contractors, poses a significant challenge to implementing IHL. Unlike states, these actors may not be subject to the same legal obligations and can be elusive to hold accountable for violations of IHL. Additionally, some non-state actors may intentionally flout IHL to further their goals, resulting in dire consequences for civilians and eroding trust in the credibility of IHL. (UNGA,2020).
- 4. Emerging Technologies: The advent of novel technologies, like autonomous weapons systems and cyber warfare, poses a challenge to upholding IHL. These advancements often fall outside the scope of existing IHL treaties and principles, prompting intricate legal and ethical debates. For instance, the deployment of autonomous weapons systems raises concerns regarding accountability and the assurance of IHL adherence (ICRC,2020).

## Methodology

## Research Design and Approach:

The methodology adopted for this study is a case study approach, which involves analyzing the Enhancing of Implementation of International Humanitarian Law in Conflict Zones. This approach allows for an in-depth analysis of the issue, taking into account the complex nature of the conflict and the different actors involved.

#### **Data Collection Methods:**

The data for this study was collected from a variety of sources, including academic articles, reports, books, and online resources. The primary sources used were official documents and reports from international organizations, such as the United Nations, the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC), and the International Criminal Court (ICC). In addition, secondary sources, such as academic articles and books, were used to provide a comprehensive overview of the issue and to provide a theoretical framework for the study.

## **Data Analysis Methods:**

The data collected were analyzed using a qualitative approach. This involved identifying themes and patterns in the data, as well as analyzing the data about the research questions and objectives of the study. The analysis also involved a comparison of the data with existing literature on the topic and the identification of any gaps in the literature.

## **Limitations of the Study:**

There are several limitations to this study that need to be acknowledged, Firstly, the study relies on secondary sources of data, which may not provide a complete picture of the situation on the ground. Secondly, the study is limited by the scope of the research questions and objectives, which may not capture all aspects of the issue.

#### **Results:**

The implementation of International Humanitarian Law (IHL) in conflict zones around the world is an ongoing challenge marked by complexities and obstacles. From the fundamental principles of IHL to the critiques and challenges it faces, understanding its application in real-world scenarios is crucial for addressing the humanitarian crises that arise during armed conflicts (*International Review of the Red Cross*, 2019).

IHL, also known as the law of war or the law of armed conflict, is a set of rules designed to mitigate the impact of armed conflicts on civilians and combatants alike. Derived from various sources including treaties, customary law,

and general principles of law, IHL outlines fundamental principles such as humanity, distinction, proportionality, and military necessity. These principles serve as guiding principles for parties to a conflict, dictating their behavior in times of war (Moore, 2012).

Humanity, the cornerstone of IHL, demands respect for the dignity and worth of all persons involved in armed conflict. It prohibits acts of violence, torture, and cruel treatment, and mandates the protection of medical personnel and facilities. Distinction requires parties to distinguish between combatants and civilians, ensuring that civilians and objects are not targeted except under certain circumstances. Proportionality mandates that any military action taken must be proportionate to the military advantage gained, preventing excessive harm to civilians. Military necessity permits the use of force to achieve legitimate military objectives, but only to the extent necessary and proportionate (Robinson, 2012). Despite the clear principles outlined in IHL, its implementation faces numerous challenges, as evidenced by case studies in conflict zones such as Syria, Yemen, Myanmar, Israel-Palestine, and Iraq.

In Syria, the conflict has resulted in widespread violations of IHL, with civilians bearing the brunt of the violence. Both government forces and non-state armed groups have been accused of committing grave violations, including indiscriminate attacks on civilian areas and the use of chemical weapons. The lack of adherence to IHL principles by all parties involved has severely hampered efforts to mitigate the humanitarian crisis.

Similarly, in Yemen, the conflict has led to significant violations of IHL, including attacks on civilians and civilian infrastructure. Despite efforts by the United Nations and humanitarian organizations to promote compliance with IHL, political divisions and the failure of parties to uphold their obligations have hindered progress.

The situation in Myanmar, Israel-Palestine, and Iraq also highlights the challenges of implementing IHL in complex conflict scenarios. In Myanmar, the Rohingya crisis has resulted in widespread human rights abuses and violations of IHL, with the military accused of committing atrocities against civilians. In Israel-Palestine, violations of IHL have been documented on both sides, with civilians suffering the consequences of the ongoing conflict. In Iraq, the widespread use of torture and mistreatment of prisoners has raised questions about accountability and compliance with IHL.

Critiques of IHL further underscore the challenges it faces. Lack of enforcement mechanisms, inconsistencies in interpretation, the rise of non-state actors, and emerging technologies all pose significant obstacles to the effective implementation of IHL.

Despite these challenges, efforts to promote compliance with IHL and hold violators accountable continue. The international community, including the United Nations and humanitarian organizations, plays a crucial role in advocating for respect for IHL and addressing violations when they occur.

In conclusion, implementing IHL in conflict zones is complex and ongoing, with many challenges. Addressing these challenges demands sustained international efforts to promote compliance, hold violators accountable, and alleviate humanitarian consequences. Collective action and commitment to IHL principles are vital for progress towards a more peaceful world.

#### Discussion

The discussion surrounding the implementation of International Humanitarian Law (IHL) in conflict zones is critical for understanding the complexities and challenges inherent in mitigating the humanitarian impact of armed conflicts. This discussion encompasses various dimensions, from the fundamental principles of IHL to the real-world challenges and case studies that underscore the urgent need for effective implementation.

At the core of the discussion are the fundamental principles of IHL, including humanity, distinction, proportionality, and military necessity. These principles provide the moral and legal framework for regulating armed conflicts and protecting the rights and dignity of individuals affected by war. However, despite the clarity of these principles, their implementation faces significant challenges, as evidenced by the numerous violations documented in conflict zones worldwide.

The case studies of conflicts in Syria, Yemen, Myanmar, Israel-Palestine, and Iraq offer valuable insights into the complexities of implementing IHL in diverse and dynamic conflict scenarios. These case studies highlight the widespread violations of IHL, including indiscriminate attacks on civilians, the targeting of civilian infrastructure, and the use of prohibited weapons. The failure to adhere to IHL principles by all parties involved exacerbates humanitarian crises and underscores the urgent need for greater compliance and accountability.

Critiques of IHL highlight its challenges, such as lacking enforcement, interpretational inconsistencies, non-state actor involvement, and emerging technologies' proliferation. These critiques question IHL's effectiveness and relevance in modern conflicts, calling for comprehensive reforms and collective action to tackle these issues.

Despite challenges, ongoing efforts to promote IHL compliance and hold violators accountable persist. The international community, including the UN and humanitarian organizations, plays a pivotal role in advocating for IHL respect and

addressing violations. However, achieving significant progress in upholding IHL principles demands sustained commitment and nurturing a culture of humanitarian norms and respect.

#### Conclusion

The implementation of IHL in conflict zones remains a complex and ongoing process marked by numerous challenges. Addressing these challenges requires collective action, political will, and a steadfast commitment to upholding the principles of IHL. Only through concerted efforts can progress be made toward mitigating the humanitarian consequences of armed conflicts and building a more peaceful and just world.

## Recommendations

To address challenges in implementing International Humanitarian Law (IHL) in conflict zones, concerted efforts by the international community are crucial. Here are recommendations to enhance IHL compliance and reduce the humanitarian impact of armed conflicts:

- 1. Strengthen Enforcement: Boost the authority and resources of international criminal tribunals to prosecute war crimes effectively.
- 2. Enhance Monitoring: Expand the role of organizations like the UN and ICRC to monitor IHL compliance and report violations promptly.
- 3. Promote Compliance: Conduct advocacy campaigns and training initiatives to raise awareness and foster respect for IHL among all parties involved in conflicts.
- 4. Strengthen Diplomacy: Utilize diplomatic pressure and mediation to encourage states to uphold IHL obligations and resolve conflicts peacefully.
- 5. Support Conflict Prevention: Invest in conflict prevention initiatives and address underlying grievances to prevent conflicts from escalating.
- 6. Build Capacity: Provide technical assistance and resources to enhance the capacity of states and non-state actors to comply with IHL.
- 7. Address Humanitarian Needs: Ensure adequate humanitarian assistance and protection for civilians affected by conflicts.
- 8. Foster Cooperation: Facilitate international cooperation to coordinate responses, share best practices, and mobilize resources for IHL implementation.

Implementing these recommendations can improve IHL compliance, lessen the humanitarian impact of conflicts, and uphold principles of humanity and proportionality in conflict zones.

#### **References:**

- Al Aridi, A. (2016). The Application of International Humanitarian Law to Non-State Actors, Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=2877652.
- Barakat, S., & Hatay, M. (2019). *The Syrian conflict: Triggers, dynamics, and implications*. In Handbook of Middle East Politics (pp. 1-19). Routledge.
- Bartels, R. (July 3, 2018). The Relationship between International Humanitarian Law and the Notion of State Sovereignty. Forthcoming in: The special issue of the Journal of Conflict and Security Law on 'The Impact of the Law of Armed Conflict on General International Law', Volume 23 (2018), Amsterdam Law School Research Paper No. 2018-18, Amsterdam Center for International Law No. 2018-04, Available at SSRN: <a href="https://ssrn.com/abstract=3207193">https://ssrn.com/abstract=3207193</a> or <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3207193">https://ssrn.com/abstract=3207193</a> or <a
- Civilians are protected under international humanitarian law ICRC. (2010) (n.d). https://www.icrc.org/en/doc/war-and-law/protected-persons/civilians/overview-civilians-protected.htm.
- Gerard, N. (n.d.). The implementation of international humanitarian law and the principle of State sovereignty, <a href="https://internationalreview.icrc.org/sites/default/files/S0020860400023366a.pdf">https://internationalreview.icrc.org/sites/default/files/S0020860400023366a.pdf</a>
- HRW. (2018). Iraq: Torture and Abuse by Security Forces. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.hrw.org/world-report/2018/country-chapters/iraq">https://www.hrw.org/world-report/2018/country-chapters/iraq</a>.
- HRW. (2021). Myanmar. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.hrw.org/asia/myanmar">https://www.hrw.org/asia/myanmar</a>.
- Ibold, N. (2019). Post-Conflict Syria: From Destruction to Reconstruction Who's Involved and to Which Extent. Open House International. 44. 8-19. 10.1108/OHI-02-2019-B0002.
- ICRC. (2019). Challenges to International Humanitarian Law. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.icrc.org/en/document/challenges-international-humanitarian-law">https://www.icrc.org/en/document/challenges-international-humanitarian-law</a>.
- ICRC. (2020). Autonomous weapons: A game changer in armed conflict. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.icrc.org/en/document/autonomous-weapons-game-changer-armed-conflict">https://www.icrc.org/en/document/autonomous-weapons-game-changer-armed-conflict</a>.
- ICRC. (2021). What is International Humanitarian Law? Retrieved from https://www.icrc.org/en/what-is-ihl.
- International Committee of the Red Cross. (2021). *Conflicts not of an international character. In Commentary on the Third Geneva Convention:* Convention (III) relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War (pp. 141–347). chapter, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- International humanitarian law and the challenges of contemporary armed conflicts: Recommitting to protection in armed conflict on the 70th anniversary of the Geneva Conventions: Document prepared by the International Committee of the Red Cross for the 33rd International Conference of the Red Cross and Red Crescent, Geneva, Switzerland, 9–12 December (2019). *International Review of the Red Cross*, 101(911), 869–949. doi:10.1017/S1816383119000523.
- INTERNATIONAL LEGAL PROTECTION OF HUMAN RIGHTS IN ARMED CONFLICT, OHCHR (2011). <a href="https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/Documents/Publications/HR\_in\_armedconflict.pdf">https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/Documents/Publications/HR\_in\_armedconflict.pdf</a>.
- Kaja, K. (2019). The Role of the Ethical Underpinnings of International Humanitarian Law in the Age of Lethal Autonomous Weapons Systems, Polish Political

- Science Yearbook, vol. 48(3) (2019). pp. 464–475 DOI:https://doi.org/10.15804/ppsy2019305 PL ISSN 0208-7375 www.czasopisma.marszalek.com.pl/10-15804/ppsy
- Le Moli, G. (2021). Human Dignity in International Humanitarian Law. In *Human Dignity in International Law* (pp. 173–215). chapter, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <a href="https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/abs/juscogens/human-dignity-as-a-general-principle-of-law/C5D50C14B08587BAAA4CC58242A2AFCF">https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/abs/juscogens/human-dignity-as-a-general-principle-of-law/C5D50C14B08587BAAA4CC58242A2AFCF</a>.
- Lisa, M & Beth, S. (2012). International Organizations and Institutions, <a href="https://scholar.harvard.edu/files/bsimmons/files/ch 13 international os and is.pdf">https://scholar.harvard.edu/files/bsimmons/files/ch 13 international os and is.pdf</a>.
- Moore, J, (2012). 'Humanitarian Law: The Law of Armed Conflict', *Humanitarian Law in Action within Africa* (New York, 2012; online edn, Oxford Academic, 20 Apr. 2015), <a href="https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:osobl/9780199856961.003.0002">https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:osobl/9780199856961.003.0002</a>, accesse d 16 Apr. 2024.
- Oweis, K. (2018). The Syrian conflict: origins, dynamics, and prospects. Third World Quarterly, 39(1), 23-42.
- PCHR. (2021). Palestinian Centre for Human Rights. Retrieved from https://www.pchrgaza.org/en/.
- Rasheed, A & Beaujouan, J. (2019). Syrian Crisis, Syrian Refugees: Voices from Jordan and Lebanon. 10.1007/978-3-030-35016-1.
- Robinson, JA. (2012). The right of child victims of armed conflict to reintegration and recovery. *Potchefstroom Electronic Law Journal (PELJ)*, 15(1), 46-101. Retrieved April 16, 2024, from <a href="http://www.scielo.org.za/scielo.php?script=sci\_arttext&pid=S17273781201200">http://www.scielo.org.za/scielo.php?script=sci\_arttext&pid=S17273781201200</a> 0100003&lng=en&tlng=en.
- Schmitt, M.N. (2011). *Military Necessity and Humanity in International Humanitarian Law: Preserving the Delicate Balance*. In: Essays on Law and War at the Fault Lines. T.M.C. Asser Press. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-6704-740-1\_3
- UN. (2021). Yemen. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.un.org/en/sections/issues-depth/yemen/">https://www.un.org/en/sections/issues-depth/yemen/</a>. UNGA. (2020). Protection of civilians in armed conflict. Retrieved from
- https://www.un.org/en/ga/search/view\_doc.asp?symbol=A/74/L.40.

  What is international humanitarian law? | International Committee of .... (n.d). https://www.icrc.org/en/document/what-international-humanitarian-law.
- Zyberi, G. (2018). Enforcement of International Humanitarian Law. In: Oberleitner, G. (eds) International Human Rights Institutions, Tribunals, and Courts. International Human Rights. Springer, Singapore. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-5206-4-14">https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-5206-4-14</a>.