

## **Reimagining Criminology: The Transformative Power of the Postmodern Paradigm**

Raed S A Faqir<sup>1</sup> & Ehab Alrousan<sup>2</sup>

### **Abstract**

The study delves into the substantial impact of the postmodern paradigm on the field of criminology, with a particular emphasis on its evolution, skepticism, and intricate relationship with modernity. Postmodernism challenges criminological norms with pluralism, deconstruction, and knowledge relativization. The study examines the postmodern paradigm's impact on criminal theories, requiring reevaluation. It also highlights the need for adaptable policies in a postmodern society, advocating for the integration of diverse perspectives and strategies to effectively address the transformative effects. Employing a legal methodology encompassing descriptive and analytical approaches, the findings of this research unequivocally underscore the profound transformative impact of the postmodern paradigm on criminology. Notable concepts like pluralism, deconstruction, and the rejection of determinism redefine the understanding of criminal behavior, prompting policymakers to reconsider and modify existing policies. The study contributes to the scholarly discourse by revealing how the postmodern paradigm reshapes criminological thought and urges policymakers to adopt a more inclusive and nuanced approach to addressing contemporary crime.

**Keywords:** Postmodernism, criminology, pluralistic perspectives, deconstruction, criminal theories and adaptable policies

### **1. Introduction**

The emergence of postmodernism served to further intensify the profound transformations in intellectual and perceptual paradigms. Towards the conclusion of the 19th century, Friedrich Nietzsche's pronouncement, encapsulated by his famous phrase "God is dead" (Nietzsche, 1974), sent reverberations throughout intellectual circles, evoking considerable consternation. Nietzsche's proclamation constituted a notable departure from established religious doctrines, instigating widespread controversy and

---

<sup>1</sup> The author is an Associate Professor in Criminal Law, College of Law, American University in the Emirates. UAE, Associate Professor in Criminal Law, Faculty of Law, Al-Balqa Applied University, Jordan. He can be reached at [raed.faqir@aeu.ae](mailto:raed.faqir@aeu.ae)

<sup>2</sup> The author is an Associate Professor in Criminal Law & Program Director- Master in Criminal Sciences, College of Law, American University in the Emirates. UAE.

fostering an atmosphere of skepticism. This pivotal declaration marked a decisive shift away from historical paradigms, heralding the onset of a secular era characterized by heightened skepticism, which in turn exerted profound influence upon notions of morality and human progress. It is imperative to recognize that this proclamation endures as a pivotal touchstone for comprehending the transformative transition from traditional religious authority to the realms of cultural and philosophical contemplation (Le Huy Bac, 2012, p. 17).

In the middle of the twentieth century, humanity encountered a significant intellectual upheaval when certain individuals asserted that "man is dead" (Le Huy Bac, 2012, p. 17). This proclamation portrayed the world as a construct built upon a fundamental framework of emptiness. In the absence of both the spiritual essence (represented by God) and human rationality, a palpable sense of apprehension permeated the human collective consciousness. These foundational ideas laid the groundwork for the emergence of postmodernism, which questioned overarching truths, embraced pluralism, and further explored the complexities of human existence and society. This intellectual movement reshaped how individuals view reality, knowledge, and the underlying assumptions that shape our understanding of the world.

Modernism stratifies societies into classical and modern paradigms, with the latter embracing principles of rationality and progress. Even within modern societies, the evolution towards the epoch of post-modern thought has ignited extensive global discourse. This discourse encompasses a myriad of perspectives concerning the essence and historical origins of postmodernism. While some embrace contemporary post-modern thought as a vital construct, others perceive it as an organic extension of the modern era, encapsulating multifaceted aspects of human existence.

The emergence of postmodernism can be traced back to a response to the challenges and inherent contradictions within modernity, characterized by significant scientific and technological advancements. Detractors of modernity have spotlighted concerns such as societal stratification, the erosion of cultural identities, and mounting environmental issues. This overarching framework serves as a prism through which contemporary society endeavors to address these pressing challenges, including the far-reaching forces of globalization, the ever-evolving nature of identities, and the relentless pursuit of knowledge and rationality.

Various viewpoints regarding postmodernism span a spectrum, encompassing interpretations that perceive it as an evolutionary framework emerging from the paradigm of modernity as well as contrasting stances predicated on apprehensions

concerning the erosion of values and societal fragmentation (Nevisi, 2020, Faani, 2022). The enduring relevance of postmodernism within the domains of philosophy and the social sciences underscores its capacity to unveil the intricacies inherent in contemporary society through its multifarious theoretical perspectives. Consequently, it is incumbent upon scholars to undertake rigorous critical analysis in order to attain a comprehensive comprehension of its far-reaching global impact.

### **1.1 The Philosophical Evolution of the Concept of Post-modernity**

Post-modernity sparks diverse debates in philosophy, law, and academia, challenging traditional modernist views (Abu Zeid, 2003, p. 409). In fields like criminology and legal thought, it introduces new paradigms and rejects many established theoretical foundations as inadequate for explaining criminal phenomena (Al-Omar, 2006).

The post-modern intellectual trends build upon previous philosophical and theoretical foundations, drawing from thinkers like Nietzsche, Hegel, Marx, and Kierkegaard (Al-Jameel, 2002, p. 191). However, they reject Western Marxism, chaos theory, criticism, interpretation, functional constructivism, phenomenology, and the principles of modernity and enlightenment. They are characterized by conflict, contradiction, revolution, denial, rejection, and deconstruction, leading to a proliferation of diverse and uncontrollable intellectual ideas (Mohammed, 2018, p. 159).

Post-modern discourses are characterized by divergence and uniqueness. They introduce varied ideas regarding the foundations of the sciences, general concepts in relation to society, and the legal and social positions of individuals in global societies (Al-Amir, 2010). This stems from the knowledge revolution and technological advancements in information systems, artificial intelligence, and cognitive transformations shaping the world today.

Philosopher Jean-François Lyotard introduced "postmodernism" in his 1979 work, "The Postmodern Condition: A Report on Knowledge," sparking a revolutionary era in the sciences, arts, and literature (Ali, Riyadh, 1987, p. 3). Lyotard focuses on the shift brought by the information technology revolution, emphasizing the role of communication language in deciphering digital knowledge transmitted through various systems (Ismail, 2005, p. 390). He underscores the connection between language, knowledge, social reality, and everyday life, especially for legislators, judges, and criminologists. Lyotard distinguishes scientific knowledge by its use of linguistic

signifiers, suggesting technology's growth has transformed contemporary knowledge, challenging traditional philosophical perspectives (Aylesworth, 2015).

Daniel Bell sees the post-modern era as a consequence of radical changes that occurred after World War II, affecting technology, the economy, culture, and urban development. He considers it a transition from modernity to post-modernity, marked by globalization, borderless fluidity, and social, economic, and cognitive transformations (Mohammed, 2018, p. 159).

Critical postmodernism embraces the concepts of "hyperreality" and "cultural selectivity". Proponents argue that modernity and enlightenment culture are contrived constructs diverging from authentic reality. Figures like Jean Baudrillard and Umberto Eco assert that individuals struggle to differentiate between "true reality" and "false or imaginative" reality in the contemporary age (Mohammed, 2018, 159–160). They claim that technological progress has transformed objects into mere symbols and implausible quantifications, rendering reality an image devoid of truth. Arnold Joseph Toynbee sees the postmodern era as a response to modernity's inadequacies, marked by irrationality, a lack of steadfast criteria, and turmoil due to the bourgeoisie's inability to manage capitalist evolution and the displacement of the working class in the Western sphere (Darwish, 2008, p. 14).

## **1.2. Definition of Postmodernism and Its Characteristics**

Carol Nicholson defines postmodernism as an assembly of theoretical approaches aimed at achieving new structural and epistemic directions beyond customary perceptions and mental frameworks (Atiya, 2007, p. 25). Soroush characterizes it as an era where humanity departs from its exclusive reliance on reason (Soroush, 2009, p. 228). Some see it as a critical intellectual movement rejecting Western civilization's established foundations and principles as outdated and striving to surpass the confines of capitalism (Bishop, 1996, p. 993).

Some define postmodernism as a critical movement challenging modernity and Enlightenment values like rationality, science, and universality (Best & Kellner, 1991, P. 4). Michel Foucault sees it as transformative, aiming to change societal values related to diversity, conflict, and homogeneity (Dunya, 2011, p. 73). Scott Lash defines postmodernism as a cultural and social phenomenon involving cultural contradictions, creating a new system of symbolism and social hierarchy (Scott, 2011). Egyptian philosopher Ihab Hasan defines it as a movement rejecting traditional aesthetics in architectural art from the modern era (Adham and Najjar, 1995, p. 125).

The concept of postmodernism sparks debates with both supportive and opposing views (Adham and Najjar, 1995, p. 125). Supporters see it as a natural evolution of the modern era, considering the decline of the Enlightenment and modern values. Notable proponents include philosophers like "Lyotard" and "Ihab Hasan" (Al-Jameel, 2002, p. 191). Opponents argue postmodernism's decline and confinement are temporary, dismissing it as a stagnant phenomenon.

Postmodernism in criminology has distinctive features influencing its approach and foundations. It deconstructs absolutism, challenging the prevailing paradigm embraced during the modern era. Postmodernism emphasizes the need for holistic and innovative theories capable of addressing crime's multifaceted aspects through a nuanced lens (Al-Omar, 2006, p. 28). It employs relativity to analyze criminological theories, highlighting the fluid context and diverse factors for a nuanced understanding. This shift from absolute rationality leads to a more inclusive and multifaceted perception of reality, impacting various scientific domains, including criminology. Postmodernism advocates for diverse perspectives and pluralism in interpreting rationality, moving away from a single explanatory framework for criminal behavior.

### **1.3. Nature of Postmodern Criminology**

In postmodern philosophy, crime goes beyond violating written laws and stems from societal values and standards (Faani, 2022, p. 1758). Postmodern thinkers view crime as intricate and influenced by social, cultural, economic, and political contexts. They seek to understand the deeper roots of crime and its evolution within complex societies shaped by multiple interactions (Lyotard, 1984, p. xxiv). This perspective involves examining the social, economic, cultural, environmental, and political factors contributing to criminal acts and influencing the patterns and spread of crime.

In the post-modern era, new crime theories emerged to explain crime amid societal, cultural, and economic changes, considering factors like societal influence, aspirations, environment, control, and social justice (Tavasolizadeh & Akbar, 2016, pp. 103–104). They delve into the intricate interplay of these factors and their impact on criminal behavior (Austen and Malcolm, 2013, p. 3). Theories provide a holistic view of post-modern crime, highlighting intersections and legal definitions' inability to understand context and evolving discourse, highlighting the need for a deeper understanding (Faani, 2022, p. 1758).

According to postmodern criminologists, crime stems from powerful individuals or groups, often the ruling classes (McLeod, 2023 Nevisi, 2020, Faani, 2022). These powerful entities use their influence to control marginalized individuals,

imposing constraints due to unequal power distribution and the manipulation of legal, social, and political structures (Ruggiero, 2021, p. 3). Those outside the ruling classes may engage in criminal conduct when contesting hierarchical structures or defying normative standards. Postmodern criminology critiques traditional criminology for its narrow focus on individual criminals and specific crimes, overlooking power dynamics, social inequalities, and the influence of politics, economics, and culture on crime (Matloviová, 2014, pp. 34–36). Postmodern criminology broadens this view, seeing crime as a result of interactions, reactions, and power struggles (De Boer & Bosetti, 2015, pp. 7-8). It questions established definitions of crime, suggesting they may serve influential factions' interests in exerting control.

Aligned with this perspective, crime goes beyond legal violations; it involves power dynamics and the imposition of ideologies (Agger, 1991, p. 108). Postmodernism examines language's impact on criminal understanding, highlighting societal context, power dynamics, and language's role in defining criminal behavior and preventing uniform legal frameworks.

#### **1.4. Interrelation & Disconnection between Postmodernity & Modernity**

The relationship between postmodernity and modernity significantly impacts criminology. Postmodernity builds upon modern-era ideas (Ben Dunya, 2011, p. 73). While modernity stressed reason, postmodernity challenges a single objective truth, leading criminologists to consider multiple perspectives in analyzing crime complexity. However, postmodernity departs from modernity in its skepticism toward linear progress and scientific limitations (Saraji and Jafar, 2014, p. 117). This division affects criminology, as postmodern criminologists doubt grand theories fully explaining criminal behavior. They emphasize context and recognize theories' cultural and societal variations (Tavasolizadeh & Akbar, 2016).

Postmodern supporters view the concept of rationality, the foundation of modern thought, as an illusory idea lacking enduring truth (Alawi, 2021, pp. 168–169). They argue that the modern project failed to advance global cognition, labeling it a tool used by dominant powers for their own interests. Postmodern thinkers see modernity as an imperialistic ideology that empowers authority to achieve control-oriented goals rather than striving for cognitive progress (Kenning, 2019, p. 122-123).

Postmodern thought criticizes modern rationality for reducing the meaning and scope of control. Modernity is seen as rooted in a dominative tendency, seeking power and control over resources and possessions (Adham and Najjar, 1995, p. 126). Postmodern advocates critique modernity for its limited view of rationality and its link

to hierarchical power dynamics, viewing it as a means for dominant powers to exert control and advance their agendas (François and Mohamed Jedidi, 1995, p. 54). This critical perspective shapes discussions about modernity's impact on societal structures and knowledge systems.

### **1.5. The Sterility of Prevailing Theories in Criminology**

Postmodern thought diminishes the significance of objective, material, psychological, and social theories that once underpinned traditional criminology. It questions the universal application of these theories to all categories of crime and deviance (Ismail, 2005). Postmodern advocates argue that applying theoretical principles universally in criminology is impractical and unfeasible, rejecting the idea that criminological theories can cover all aspects (Al-Mansouri, 2017). Such theories are limited in effectively addressing the complexities of crime across various manifestations and contexts, especially evident in cyber-security breaches, electronic space violations, artificial intelligence-related offenses, and technological criminal activities (Al-Mansouri, 2017, p. 20).

In essence, postmodernism challenges the relevance and efficacy of traditional criminological theories when dealing with the dynamic nature of crime, especially in emerging areas like cybercrime, electronic systems, artificial intelligence, and various technologies (Hutchon, 2020, p. 96). Postmodernists contend that the once-dominant theoretical models within the realm of criminology during the modern era have experienced a decline (Ghawat, 2018, p. 98). This decline is attributed to their failure to offer sufficient explanations and comprehensive forecasts for addressing criminal phenomena, especially in light of advancements in science, technology, and artificial intelligence.

Postmodern thinkers, critics, and criminologists concur on the inadequacies of traditional criminal thought in dealing with both local and global criminal activities and comprehending the root causes of increasing deviance and crime trends. This drives them to scrutinize and ultimately assert the ineffectiveness of criminological theories' foundational principles and values.

### **1.6. Impact on Society cultural, Crime Control and Media Power**

Postmodernism has exerted a multifarious influence on various facets of society, encompassing culture, the administration of criminal justice, and the dynamics of media authority (Alawi, 2021, pp. 168–169). It has prominently fostered the propagation of diversity, an ethos of skepticism, and a discerning reconsideration of

prevailing power hierarchies within these domains (Ismail, 2005). Nevertheless, it has not escaped scrutiny and has been censured for its plausible propensity to culminate in relativism and the erosion of common values or objective truths. The repercussions of postmodernism remain in a state of perpetual evolution, thus remaining a subject of sustained deliberation and interpretation (Adham and Najjar, 1995).

Postmodernism's inclination towards skepticism with regard to authoritative narratives extends its purview to encompass law enforcement and the realm of crime control (Ghawat, 2018). It fosters a heightened proclivity for questioning the legitimacy and equitability of the criminal justice apparatus. Moreover, it propounds an imperative for a meticulous scrutiny of power structures that pervade society, including those germane to the domain of crime and its adjudication. Consequently, this has engendered substantive discourse surrounding issues of social, economic, and racial disparities inherent within the criminal justice system (Al-Mansouri, 2017). Finally, it focuses on a multiplicity of perspectives, which has wielded discernible influence over the comprehension and redressal of criminal matters. Variegated cultural and subcultural viewpoints are accorded due consideration in the evaluation and mitigation of crime and its underlying causative factors (Tamimi, 2011, p. 3)

## **2. Diminishing the Role of Historic Criminal Thought**

In the postmodern era, traditional criminal thought has lost significance (Alawi, 2021, p. 169). Historical perspectives were once crucial in understanding crime limitations and explaining modern crime complexities. Postmodern criminal and social thought aim to reduce the historical role of cumulative knowledge in addressing contemporary criminal phenomena. Advocates caution against relying on historical contexts given their temporal constraints, arguing that theories from earlier eras may not apply in the post-industrial age marked by technological innovations and artificial intelligence (Kenning, 2019).

Postmodern criminologists argue that the continuity of human thought, suitable for disciplines related to spirituality, religions, ideologies, and cultural narratives, may not apply to criminology. They stress the need to detach from historical contexts and focus on contemporary criminality, prioritizing the ever-changing present (Ghawat, 2018).

Classical criminological theories, focusing on individual rationality, struggle to address cybercrime and technological transgressions, which involve complex digital tools and online anonymity (Abdallah, 2008, pp. 63–64). Positivist theories, emphasizing biological, psychological, and sociological factors, face challenges in



explaining modern criminality like white-collar offenses (financial manipulation or corporate fraud) (Al Dusuqi, 1987, pp. 54–55). Historical criminology emphasized punitive strategies, but the postmodern era recognizes the need for rehabilitative and restorative justice methods, considering the complex interplay of social, economic, and cultural factors in criminal actions.

The shift to recognizing limitations in historical views on crime during the postmodern era reflects changes in contemporary society. This underscores the need for a more comprehensive framework to understand and address crime in our complex global context.

### **2.1. Postmodernity & Rejection of Determinism in Criminology**

Postmodernity challenges criminological determinism by examining contextual backgrounds, recognizing variability in outcomes, and rejecting established dogmas. It emphasizes relativity's role in human existence and proposes indeterminism as a counterpoint, revealing the discipline's openness to potentialities and inadequacies in modern thought paradigms.

Postmodernists firmly reject determinism for various reasons. They perceive reality as complex and resist simplistic interpretations (Adham and Najjar, 1995). Evolving social, cultural, and technological contexts challenge static determinism by recognizing diverse backgrounds and values and thereby resisting deterministic viewpoints. Postmodern criminology rejects determinism due to factors like diverse criminal activities and social, economic, and technological influences. It advocates a context-sensitive, adaptable approach, enabling a comprehensive examination of crime phenomena and a deeper understanding of their complexity (Tamimi, 2011, p. 3).

Postmodernists reject modern criminology principles for various reasons:

- **Relativity and Context:** They emphasize the importance of interpreting crime within actual contexts and ongoing changes, contrasting with modern criminology's fixed conceptualizations (Assad, 2021, p. 3013).
- **Inadequate Explanations:** Modern principles fail to explain contemporary crime phenomena and predict them effectively, especially in the face of scientific advancements (Yessen, 2016, p. 183).
- **Social and Cultural Shifts:** Modernity overlooks changing crime patterns and human behavior, while postmodernists argue for adaptability (Yessen, 2016, p. 189).

- **Opposition to Hegemony:** Modern criminology supports dominant authorities and social systems, but postmodernists seek to challenge and resist this influence (Gouda, 2020, p. 244).
- **Embracing Diversity:** Postmodernist perspectives emphasize embracing pluralism and diversity when interpreting human behavior and criminality, promoting a comprehensive examination of various factors shaping criminal conduct (Faani, 2022).

In brief, it is found that postmodernists reject modern criminology to explore and surpass its constraints, aiming for a more adaptable framework to understand crime and related phenomena.

### **3. Implications of Postmodernity on Criminology**

It's well known that the postmodern movement opposes the entirety of the principles, values, and accomplishments of the modern era (Nevisi, 2020). This divergence led it to establish a contrasting value system that departs from the values and principles underlying modern thought. This shift from modernity to postmodernity has cast its influence across various domains of human thought, including urban, social, economic, philosophical, and even legal realms, affecting their objective and procedural frameworks. This transformation is especially evident in the field of criminology (Brown, 2006, p. 56).

The postmodern application of change is apparent in the specialized sciences that interpret criminal phenomena. This movement aimed to undermine the principles generated by the Renaissance and modern eras in the realm of interpreting criminal phenomena (Faani, 2022). Its perspective challenges traditional theories that influenced criminal and punitive systems across different legal schools. This shift is expected to impact global legislation, leading to new patterns based on different principles. It significantly influences criminology by challenging universal crime explanations, emphasizing broader societal contexts, and questioning the concept of a single objective truth. This approach promotes inclusivity, community-oriented crime prevention, and diverse thinking to comprehensively understand criminal behavior.

### **4. The Impact of the Postmodern Approach on Criminal Thought**

Postmodernism significantly impacted criminal thought, transforming our understanding of crime and justice, transforming theories and approaches to criminal issues.

#### **4.1. Doubt in Grand Theories**

The postmodern approach rigorously challenges overarching explanatory theories, often seen as ideologies, shaping our understanding of human behavior, society, and culture (Elaati, 2016, p. 3). It exposes the limitations and biases within these theories, contesting the idea that a single theory can fully capture human complexity and emphasizing cultural and historical contexts (Henry and Milovanovic, 2000, pp. 268–290). Postmodernism aims to dismantle the moral authority associated with these theories and advocates diverse ethical viewpoints. By critically examining these narratives, it fosters a more comprehensive outlook, embracing alternative perspectives and the complexity of human experiences (Patton, 2001, pp. 11874–11876).

This approach emphasizes that ideas and knowledge are products of historical and cultural contexts. It questions the applicability of grand theories across different time periods and societies, promoting a more contextual understanding, and challenges the notion of an absolute truth or fixed governing rules for the world (Deng, 2019, p. 78). Within the realm of criminology, it perceives earlier theories as endeavors to establish definitive explanations for both crime and its underlying causes (Austen and Malcolm, 2013, p. 4). Postmodernism posits that authoritative influences shape the genesis of theories and wield influence over what is deemed knowledge (Boyne and Rattansi, 1990, pp. 9–11). In the field of criminology, this entails spotlighting the intricate interplay between power dynamics and policy directives in shaping the evolution and acceptance of theories related to criminal behavior.

Postmodernism highlights cultural diversity and multiple viewpoints (Waugh, 2001, p. 17), especially in criminology, where it explores various interpretations reflecting diverse backgrounds (Eck and Weisburd, 1995, p. 5). It underscores the role of language and symbols in constructing and interpreting knowledge, including criminal theories (Bac and Hang, 2016, p. 320). Postmodern thought rejects overarching classifications and historical crime theories as control mechanisms (Duignan, 2023) and instead promotes diversity and explores possibilities (Burke, 2009, pp. 263-264). While challenging established theories, it acknowledges multiple viewpoints and uncertainty but selectively integrates valuable elements for a more inclusive perspective (Dybicz and Hall, 2021, p. 270).

#### **4.2. Focus on Diversity and Plurality**

Postmodernism challenges universal truths and objective knowledge, impacting criminal justice by questioning one-size-fits-all approaches (Diaconu, 2014,

p. 169). It emphasizes diverse narratives and identities, recognizing that individuals' experiences of crime are shaped by their unique backgrounds. Intersectionality highlights the interconnectedness of social identities and their impact on crime and justice perceptions (Nevisi, 2020, pp. 2–3). Language and media portrayals' influence on public views of crime is underscored, urging critical examination (Agger, 1991, p. 108). The variance in crime and justice definitions across cultures is acknowledged, fostering cross-cultural understanding (Ning, 2000, p. 224). Power imbalances' effects on legal processes like policing and sentencing are recognized, prompting scrutiny of authority's legitimacy (Penna and Yar, 2003, p. 470). Postmodernism has encouraged alternative justice models focusing on restoration and dialogue. Ultimately, it reshapes criminal justice by prioritizing diversity, context, and inclusivity, benefiting historically marginalized perspectives (Nevisi, 2020, p. 3).

Finally, it can be rightly stated that postmodernism significantly impacts criminal contexts and justice, emphasizing diversity and plurality. It has led to a shift in criminal thinking, recognizing cultural and social nuances in crime and justice. This holistic approach acknowledges the interplay of identities, experiences, and power dynamics, ultimately promoting equitable and just outcomes in criminal justice.

#### **4.3.Rejection of Grand Narratives**

Postmodernism rejects grand narratives, promoting diverse, small narratives that shape reality, while macro-narratives provide exhaustive explanations for diverse phenomena (Dybicz, 2012, pp. 268–279). Postmodernism challenges grand narratives, promoting a diverse, context-sensitive understanding of human experiences, including crime. (Brown, 1999).

Postmodernism argues that macro-narratives are biased, perpetuating power imbalances and exclusion (Best, 2002, p. 270). They often reflect dominant cultural, social, and political views, suppressing marginalized voices and alternative interpretations (Baines, 2011, p. 7). Postmodernism deconstructs these narratives, revealing hidden power dynamics and allowing for multiple perspectives (Melissa, 2008, pp. 3, 9). In criminology, macro-narratives simplify criminal behavior by focusing on singular factors like poverty, while postmodern criminologists emphasize its complexity within diverse social, economic, and cultural contexts.

This approach advocates for embracing smaller narratives that acknowledge diverse experiences and contextual variations (Scott, 2011). Postmodern criminologists explore multiple perspectives on criminal behavior, considering factors like social inequality, historical background, motivations, and cultural influences (Walton, 2011,

pp. 173–174). They challenge objective truths, recognizing knowledge's complexity as influenced by social, historical, and cultural factors and promoting nuanced understanding (Livingston, 1996, p. 372). Postmodern criminology views crime as a result of intricate, interrelated factors, challenging linear causality (De Carvalho, 2008, p. 2). It explores the potential benefits of disruption through symbols, language, and discourse analysis, acknowledging language's impact on crime perceptions and interpretations, which are influenced by power structures and societal norms (Westhuizen and Westhuizen, 1997, p. 86).

Finally, it can be said that postmodernism challenges macro-narratives in criminology, focusing on nuanced, inclusive approaches that consider diverse experiences, contexts, and interpretations of criminal behavior.

### **5. Modification of Criminal Policies**

Postmodernism challenges traditional criminal policies and punishments, advocating for contextually sensitive, socially just alternatives and rejecting imprisonment's efficacy (Cowling, 2006, pp. 8–10). Constitutive criminology suggests new avenues, like media critique and power redirection. Scholars like Sanchez, Arrigo, and Thomas explore societal dynamics and prompt innovative strategies, embracing complexities (Cowling, 2006, p. 9).

Postmodern thinkers underscore the significance of honoring cultural and social diversity in shaping criminal policies (Sim, 2013, pp. 19–20). The shift is away from universal laws to recognizing diverse contexts and communities, leading to a questioning of harsh penalties and a preference for alternative approaches to crime prevention (McCann, 2022, p. 5). This includes a focus on rehabilitation and reintegration rather than solely fixating on punitive measures. These thinkers offer a new perspective on victims in the criminal justice system (Hanganu et al., 2017, pp. 47–53), emphasizing support and their legal standing (Arrigo, 2004, p. 91–92). They also propose restorative justice and conflict resolution models as alternatives to punitive approaches (Cowling, 2006, p. 4), with the goal of promoting reconciliation among offenders, victims, and the community.

Postmodern scholars also champion the analysis of criminal behavior by considering the social and environmental factors that contribute to its occurrence (Faani, 2022, p. 1763). This involves a study of aspects such as poverty, inequality, living conditions, and environmental influences. Furthermore, they voice growing opposition to the use of the death penalty, highlighting its incongruence with values of tolerance and human rights (Harcourt, 2006, 18–19). In their pursuit of reformed criminal

policies, these thinkers also encourage the cultivation of dialogue and active social engagement (Koen, 2016, p. 179). This approach entails robust communication with diverse groups and communities vested in these policy discussions.

In essence, postmodern thinkers have redefined criminal policies, promoting diversity, restorative justice, and a more inclusive approach, fundamentally reshaping crime and justice.

## **6. Conclusion**

The exploration of postmodernism's intersection with criminology has revealed transformative perspectives. Postmodern criminology, marked by skepticism towards overarching narratives and a celebration of diversity, introduces a fresh lens for analyzing criminal behavior. This perspective emphasizes the subjectivity and socially constructed nature of crime, influenced by power dynamics.

The interplay between postmodernity and modernity highlights evolving thought and societal frameworks. This reminds us of the fluidity of human understanding and its impact on criminology. Recognizing the limitations of existing criminological theories, we must assess their effectiveness in addressing modern crime complexities. The fading influence of historical criminal thought underscores the need for knowledge to adapt to changing times.

Postmodernity's rejection of determinism prompts a paradigm shift in criminology, recognizing the complexity of factors affecting criminal behavior. This shift influences not only theory but also policy. Reevaluating causality and human agency leads to more individualized and context-sensitive justice policies.

In summary, the fusion of postmodern ideals with criminology ushers in an era of recalibration, innovation, and adaptability. It challenges conventional wisdom, leading to a more profound engagement with crime. This transformation in criminal policies aims for a more attuned, comprehensive, and equitable justice system.

## References

- Abdallah, Mohammed Kassem. (2008). Theories of Crime Contemporary Trends in Understanding Crime, *Knowledge Journal*, 47 (542), 62 - 81.
- Abu Zeid, Mahmoud. (2003). Lexicology in Criminology, Legal Meeting and Punishment, Gharib House for Distribution and Publishing.
- Adham, Sami, and Ibrahim Najjar. (1995). Postmodernism, *Arab Future*, 17 (192), 123-129.
- Agger, Ben. (1991). Critical Theory: Poststructuralism, Postmodernism: Their Sociological Relevance, *Annual Review of Sociology*, 17,105-131.
- Al Dusuqi, Kamal. (1987). The development of psychological theories concerning the causes of criminal delinquency." Research on the Sixth Scientific Symposium - Modern Theories in the Interpretation of Criminal Conduct, Riyadh: Arab Center for Security Studies and Training, Riyadh: 53-96.
- Al-Amir, Ibrahim Khalil. (2010). A Study of Social Reaction According to the Theory of Stigma, *Journal of Rafidain Literature*, Mosul University, Faculty of Arts, 56, 17-20.
- Alawi, Fawzi. (2021). Postmodernism: Globalization and Emancipation Bets, *Tracks Journal*, (25), 68 - 16.
- Alessandra R. McCann. (2022). Finding Community in Restorative Justice in Postmodern, Neoliberal Conditions, Master Thesis, Whitman College.
- Ali, Badruddin. (1987). Overview of Developments in Theories on the Cause of Crime, Arab Centre for Security Studies and Training, Riyadh.
- Al-Jameel, Fathiya. (2002). Crime, Society and Perpetrator, Amman.
- Al-Omar Maan Khalil. (2006). Vocational Specialization in Aftercare, Preliminary Edition, Nayef Arab University of Security Sciences Publications, Riyadh.
- Al-Omar, Maan Khalil. (2006). Vocational Specialization in Aftercare, Preliminary Edition, Nayef Arab University of Security Sciences Publications, Riyadh.
- Assad, Naji Mustafa Naji. (2012). and Salwa Mohammed Mustafa Nusrah, The Similarity and Reflection Between Sophistication and Postmodernism, *Research Journal*, 1 (10), 302-323.
- Atiya, Ahmed Abdel Halim. (2007). Postmodernity and Deconstruction, Arab Cultural House, Cairo.

- Austen, Liz and Cowburn. (2013). Postmodernism and Criminological Thought: Whose Science? Whose knowledge? In: Malcolm Cowburn, Marian Duggan, Anne Robinson and Paul Senior (eds.) Values in Criminology and Community Justice. Bristol, Policy Press.
- Aylesworth, Gary. (2015). Postmodernism Stanford.” The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, edited by Edward N. Zalta, Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University, <https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/spr2015/entries/postmodernism>. (Accessed 08 December 2022).
- Bac, Le Huy and Hang, Dao Thi Thu. (2016). From Language to Postmodern Language Game Theory, *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 7(6), 319-324 .
- Baines, D. (2011). Doing- anti-oppressive practice, Building transformative politicized social work. Halifax, NS: Fernwood, in Catrina G. Brown, Anti-Oppression Through a Postmodern Lens: Dismantling the Master’s Conceptual Tools in Discursive Social Work Practice, available at: <https://ojs.uwindsor.ca/index.php/csw/article/view/5848/4820> (accessed 15 March 2023).
- Ben Dunya, Sadiya Sa'ud. (2011). Modernism and Postmodernism: Islamic Moral Political Values, *Al-Kalimah Journal*, vol. 18 (73), 67-84.
- Best, Shaun. (2002). A Beginner's Guide to Social Theory, London: Sage Publications Limited.
- Bishop, R. (1996). Post-modernism. In, Levinson David & Ember Melvin (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Cultural Anthropology*, New York, Henry Holt and Company, Vol. (3).
- Boyne, Roy and Rattansi, Ali. (1990). The Theory and Politics of Postmodernism: By Way of an Introduction. In Roy Boyne and Ali Rattansi (eds), *Postmodernism and Society*, London: MacMillan Education LTD: 1– 45.
- Brown, David. (1999). Narrative and Enlightenment: The Challenge of Postmodernism, Tradition and Imagination, Revelation and Change, Oxford Academic, Oxford. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1093/0198269919.003.0002> (accessed 11 Aug. 2023).



- Bruce A. Arrigo. (2004). Rethinking Restorative and Community Justice: A Postmodern Inquiry, *Contemporary Justice Review*, 7(1), 91-100.
- Burke, Roger Hopkins. (2009). An Introduction to Criminological Theory, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, USA: Willan Publishing, 2009.
- Darwish, Gamal. (2008). The State and Society in the Postmodern Stage, Master's Thesis, College of Political Science and Media, University of Algeria.
- David W.. (2011). Lecture on postmodernity, THiC, Boston University. Available at: <https://blogs.bu.edu/dscott/files/2011/05/Lecture-on-postmodernity-David-Wm-Scott.pdf> (accessed 12 December 2022).
- De Boer, John & Louise Bosetti. (2015). The Crime-Conflict “Nexus”: State of the Evidence, United Nations University Centre for Policy Research, Occasional Paper 5: 1-26.
- Deng, Gang. (2019). The Origins of Postmodern Moral Relativism, *Journal of Public Philosophy and Democratic Education*, 8(1), 77-94.
- Diaconu, Mircea A. (2014). Truth and Knowledge in Postmodernism, *Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 137, 165-169.
- Duignan, Brian. (2023). Postmodernism, Encyclopedia Britannica. Available at: <https://www.britannica.com/topic/postmodernism-philosophy>. (Accessed 13 August 2023).
- Dybicz, Philip. (2012). The Hero(ine) on a journey: A Postmodern Conceptual Framework for Social Work Practice, *Journal of Social Work Education*, 48(2), 267-283.
- Dybicz, Phillip and Hall, J. Christopher. (2021). An Introduction to the Postmodern Paradigm via Contrast to the Modern Paradigm: Relevance for Direct Social Work Practice, *Social Sciences & Humanities Open* 4: 1-8.
- Elaati, Abdulazim N. (2016). Postmodernism Theory, Research Gate. Available at: <https://www.researchgate.net> (accessed 13 May 2023)
- Fares, Mohammed Ali. (2018). Postmodernity: The Path to Fluid and Light Postmodernity - An Anthropological Perspective, *Journal of Social Sciences*, 46 (4), 157-215.
- Ghawat, Hanan Khalifa. (2018). Mohsen Mahmoud Khader, Talat Abdul Hamid, what is the modern mind in Arab society and the challenges of modernity, *Reading and Knowledge Magazine*, No. 202: 87-105.

- Gouda, Mustafa Attiya Juma. (2020). Historical Doctrine from a Post-Modern Perspective: A Study in Criticism of Modernity, Dominance and Dismantling of Grand Narratives, *Cannes Historical Journal*, 13 (49), 230-247.
- Hanganu, B., Crauciuc, D., Petre-Ciudin, V., Velnic, A. A., Manolescu, I., & Ioan, B. G. (2017). Domestic Violence in the Postmodern Society: Ethical and Forensic Aspects. *Postmodern Openings*, 8 (3), 46-58.
- Harcourt, Bernard E. (2006). Embracing Chance: Post-Modern Meditations on Punishment, in John M. Olin Program in Law and Economics Working Paper No. 318: 1-48.
- Henry, Stuart and Milovanovic, Dragan. (2000). Constitutive Criminology: Origins, Core Concepts, and Evaluation, Vol (27), Issue 2(80), *Criminal Justice and Globalization at the New Millennium*: 268-290.
- Hutchon, Linda, and Ahmed Abdelfattah. (2020). Post-Modern Deviation from the Center: Former Centralization, *Creative Journal*, 4 (13), 96-100.
- Ismail, Nabil Numan Hassan. (2005). Interpretation of the Phenomenon of Recidivism under Social Stigma Theory, *Journal of Arts, Baghdad University, Faculty of Arts*, No. (69, 70): 386 – 397.
- Jean-François, Leotard and Jedidi, Mohamed. (2001). Answered the Question: What is post-Postmodernism? *Philosophical Papers*, (5), 45-54.
- John E. Eck and David Weisburd. (1995). Crime Places in Crime Theory, *Crime Prevention Studies*, 4, 1-33.
- Kenning, Morris, Ammar, Jamal. (2019). Modernism Against Modernism: The West in Its Modernism and Postmodernism and Imperialism, *Astrabwa Journal*, 4 (17), 107-136.
- Le Huy Bac, A. (2012). The Concept of Postmodernism, *Suwon Law Journal*, 4 (2), 17-32.
- Livingston, Jay. (1996). *Crime and criminology*, Upper Saddle River, N.J.; London: Prentice Hall.
- Lyotard, J.-F. (1984). *The Postmodern Condition: A Report on Knowledge*, (translated by G. Bennington and B. Masumi), Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Mansouri, Al-Mubarak Al-Shibani. (2017). Orientalism and Postmodernism, *Journal of Studies*, 53, 17-31.

- Mark Cowling. (2006). Postmodern Policies? The Erratic Interventions of Constitutive Criminology, *Internet Journal of Criminology*, 1-17.
- Matlovičová, Kvetoslava. (2014). The Theoretical Framework of the Intraurban Criminality Research: Main Approaches in the Criminological Thought, *Journal of the Faculty of Humanities and Natural Sciences*, 56 (2), 1-9.
- McLeod, Saul. (2023). Postmodernism in Sociology: Characteristics, & Examples. Available at: <https://simplysociology.com/postmodernism.html> (accessed on August 11, 2023).
- Melissa, Juliet Bennett. (2008). Deconstructing Postmodernism in Historical Application: Contemplating the Future, Master Dissertation on Peace and Conflict Studies, the Sydney University: 1-15.
- Nevisi, Hassan Mohammadi. (2020). Academic Conceptology of Postmodern Criminology, *International Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 5 (4), 1-3.
- Nietzsche, F. (1974). *The Gay Science*, (translated by Walter Kaufmann), New York: Vintage.
- Ning, Wang. (2000). Postmodernity, Post-coloniality and Globalization: A Chinese Perspective, *Social Semiotics*, 10 (2), 221-233.
- Patton, P. (2001). Postmodernism: Philosophical Aspects, *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences*: 32-37.
- Penna, S. and Yar, M. (2003). From Modern to Postmodern Penalty? A Response to Hallsworth', *Theoretical Criminology*, 7, 469-482.
- Raymond Koen. (2016). Restorative Justice as Postmodern Justice: Exegesis and Critique, in Bernard Martin & Raymond Koen (Editors), *Law and Justice at the Dawn of the 21st Century Essays in Honor of Lovell Derek Fernandez*, Faculty of Law, University of the Western Cape, Bellville: 1-40.
- Reza faani. (2022). The Influence of Postmodernism on Theoretical Criminology, *The Journal of Positive School Psychology*, 6 (5), 1757-1770.
- Ruggiero, Vincenzo. (2021). The Crimes of the Powerful: Between Force and Consensus, *Journal of Social Sciences*, 10 (51), 1-9.
- S. Best & D. Kellner. (1991). *Postmodern Theory: Critical Interrogations*, New York, The Guilford Press.

- Salo de Carvalho. (2008). Cultural Criminology: Perspectives from the Margin. Available at: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2251627> (accessed 22 May 2023).
- Saraji, Karim Chati. (2014). Modernism and Postmodernism, *Forum Journal of Human Studies*, 7 (19), 117-129.
- Soroush, Abdulkarim. (2009). Heritage and Secularism, (translated by Ahmed Al-Qabji) 1st edition, Dar Al-Fikr Al-Jadid, Najaf.
- Stuart Sim. (2013). Fifty Key Postmodern Thinkers, the USA and Canada, (Routledge Key Guides).
- Tamimi, Ehsan Mohammed. (2011). Postmodernism: Shaking Up Certainties and Undermining Centralization, *Our Culture Magazine*, No. 10:3.
- Tavazolizadeh Parviz & Varvahi Akbar. (2016). Forming the Concept of Crime in Theoretical System of Postmodernism, *Journal of Politics and Law*, 9 (9), 102-109.
- Walton, Charles. (2011). Postmodern Theory from: The Routledge Handbook of Deviant Behavior Routledge. Available at: <https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9780203880548.ch21> (accessed 08 May 2023).
- Waugh, P. (2001). Postmodernism. In C. Knellwolf, & C. Norris (Eds.), *The Cambridge history of literary criticism*, Cambridge University Press.
- Westhuizen, PC van Van der and Westhuizen, M. M. Van Der. (1997). Postmodernism in the Case of Criminology: An Introduction, *Acta Criminologica*, 10 (2), 81-87.
- Yessen, Sayeed. (2016). Contemporary Knowledge Revolution from Modernism to Postmodernism, *Knowledge Magazine*, 55, 182-190.